CAMS Annual Users' Conference, June 11, 2002
Attendee's Evaluation

Summary of non-breakout related evaluation items

Number of Evaluations   87

	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Doesn't Apply (0)	Total	No Response	Average
Conference Structure									
The Conference objective were clearly defined	26	49	6	4	1	0	86	1	4.10
The Conference objective were fulfilled	26	46	10	1	0	0	83	4	4.17
Total	52	95	16	5	1	0	169	5	4.14
Conference Content									
The material covered was what I expected	23	45	15	3	0	0	86	1	4.02
The material covered was elevant to my job.	24	35	16	3	1	3	82	5	3.99
The information was helpful and pertinent to the conference.	26	44	8	2	2	0	82	5	4.10
The conference materials were useful.	23	51	7	2	1	0	84	3	4.11
Total	96	175	46	10	4	3	334	14	4.05

	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Doesn't Apply (0)	Total	No Response	Average
Facilities									
The facility was appropriate for the Conference	35	45	1	3	0	0	84	3	4.33

	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Doesn't Apply (0)	Total	No Response	Average
Overall Assessment									
Rating the Conference	20	33	18	1	2	0	74	13	3.92
Rating of Speaker I	22	28	11	8	3	3	72	15	3.81
Rating of Speaker II	31	23	10	2	0	0	66	21	4.26
Total	73	84	39	11	5	0	212	49	3.99

Do you think the Conference could be improved	Yes	No	No Comment
	50	4	33

Do you think the Conference Was	Too Long	Just Right	Too Short
	15	48	1